SUBJECT No. 82.

Village *chavadis*.

Mr. Hutchaveeregowda, Gubbi taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The police who watch the villages at nights and the Shekdar and other officers who want go there on duty are put to much inconvenience for want of proper *chavadis* in some villages. This has been the case all over the State. Therefore orders may be passed to increase the number of *chavadis* as far as possible.

Reply of Government.—Orders will again be issued directing the Deputy Commissioners to enforce the liability of villagers in the matter of constructing *chavadis*.

SUBJECT No. 83.

Concession *re saguvali* leases in the matter of their registration.

Messrs. C. Narahariyya, Rudrappa and D. S. Krishna Rao, Nelamangala taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Pray that the levy of registration fees on five years' *kandayam* amount for a *saguvali* lease of one year or less may be discontinued and the same concession as in the case of *vara* leases may be shown in respect of *saguvali* leases irrespective of the period of lease.

Reply of Government.—The existing rules are all right. The probable quantity of grain that may be expected to be received as the landlord's share of the produce may be mentioned in the lease.

SUBJECT No. 84.

License fee on crackers.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Shimoga Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The license fee levied on crackers is the same as on gun powder (Re. 1 for 200 lbs.) but the quantity of explosive material contained in a cracker is not even one twentieth of its total weight. It is therefore prayed that the license fee on crackers may be proportionately reduced.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

REVENUE.

(Nos. 85 to 183.)

SUBJECT No. 85.

(*a*) The rights of advocates and pleaders to appear in revenue courts and especially in the court of the Revenue Commissioner.

(*b*) Appeal against the order of the Revenue Commissioner.

(*c*) Representation of parties in revenue courts by lawyers.

(*a*) Mr. C. Subba Rao, Shimoga District Board.

(*b*) Messrs. M. K. Krishnamurti Rao, Magadi taluk, and B. Narasinga Rao, Seringapatam taluk.

(*c*) Mr. S. Venkatesaiya, Hassan District Agricultural Association.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) With reference to a letter dated 25th June 1912, from the Secretary of the Bangalore Law Association in regard to the rights of advocates and pleaders to appear on behalf of parties in the court of the Revenue Commissioner and conduct cases, reply has been sent that the Revenue Commissioner is unable to accept the views of the Association and that he is not in favour of allowing advocates and pleaders to appear in his court for the parties. This opinion is contrary to the provisions of Section 204 of the Land Revenue Code and causes a good deal of hardship to the litigant public and raiyats, who, in the majority of cases, are ignorant of their legal rights and the remedies they ought to seek. The Government may therefore be pleased to issue orders to allow advocates and pleaders to appear in the court of the Revenue Commissioner on behalf of parties.